

|   |                 |                 |  |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | Application No. | Applicant(s)    |  |
|   | 10/007,788      | BONNETTE ET AL. |  |
|   | Examiner        | Art Unit        |  |
|   | Paul A Roberts  | 3731            |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Paul A Roberts.

(2) Brad Peterson.

**Status of Application:** non-final rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 December 2003

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The election of species was corrected by the applicant. There was a typographical error in the original restriction which was explained to the applicant. The applicant then proceeded to email the examiner the corrected response to the election of species.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)